Nevada Educator Performance Framework: Administrator Evaluation – Literature Review

Dr. Pamela Salazar, Teachers & Leaders Council (TLC) Chair & RPDP Trainer



What the Framework Measures

Standards for what educators should know and be able to do

<u>Teachers</u>

- Educational Practice
 - Instructional Practice
 - Professional Responsibilities
- Student Performance

<u>Administrators</u>

- Educational Practice
 - Instructional Leadership Practice
 - Professional Responsibilities
- Student Performance

Nevada Educator Performance Framework: Administrator Evaluation Model

Educational Practice

Student Performance

Instructional Leadership Practice — 35%

Administrator behavior that enables every teacher to support student learning.

- 1) Creating and sustaining a focus on
- learning
- 2) Creating and sustaining a culture of
- 2. continuous improvement
- Creating and sustaining productive relationships
- 4) Creating and sustaining structures

Professional Responsibilities — 15%

Administrator behavior that supports learning and promotes effectiveness of the school community

- 1) Family engagement
- 2) Community advocacy
- 3) Reflection on professional growth and practice
- 4) Professional obligations
- 5) Stakeholder perception

Student Outcomes - 50%

Students show appropriate, expected growth over time in their subject/content area.
Students show proficiency in their subjects and grade level.

Categories of data:

- 1) Student growth
- 2) Student subpopulation gap reduction
- 3) Student proficiency

Key Indicators

- Leading and developing a Vision
- Monitoring data for Accountability
- Supports Teacher Reflection
- Supports Teacher Planning for Student Success

- T. Waters, RJ Marzano, B. McNulty (2003); Balanced Leadership: What 30 Years of Research Tells Us; ERIC ED481972.
- Meta Analysis on the effects of leadership on student achievement; 69 studies, 2,802 schools
- Identified 21 responsibilities of a school leader and their importance in school change and improvement.

Samples of Research

Annotated Bibliography

Key Indicators

- Leading and developing a Vision
- Monitoring data for Accountability
- Supports Teacher Reflection
- Supports Teacher Planning for Student Success

- Spillane, J., Halverson, R. & Diamond, J. (2002). Investigating School Leadership Practice: A Distributed Perspective. Educational Researcher; 30(3).
- 4-year longitudinal study, funded by the National Science Foundation and the Spencer Foundation, designed to make the "black box" of leadership practice more transparent through an in-depth analysis of leadership practice.
- School leadership is best understood as a distributed practice, stretched over the school's social and situational contexts.

Key Indicators

- Leading and developing a Vision
- Monitoring data for Accountability
- Supports Teacher Reflection
- Supports Teacher Planning for Student Success

- K. Leithwood, KS Louis, S.
 Anderson, & K. Wahlstrom;
 (2004); How Leadership Influences
 Student Learning: Review of
 Research; ERIC ED485933
- Report examines available evidence to determine role of leadership in improving learning.
- Successful leadership plays a highly significant role in improving student learning. Requires principals to set direction, develop people, and redesign systems of support.

Key Indicators

- Leading and developing a Vision
- Monitoring data for Accountability
- Supports Teacher Reflection
- Supports Teacher Planning for Student Success

- Weiss, C. & Cambone, J.
 (1994); Principals, Shared
 Decision Making, and School
 Reform; Educational Evaluation
 and Policy Analysis; 16 (1).
- Multi-school case study on principals and shared decision making and impact on school change and reform.
- Principals who foster shared decision making achieve greater degree of reform.

Key Indicators

- Leading and developing a Vision
- Monitoring data for Accountability
- Supports Teacher Reflection
- Supports Teacher Planning for Student Success

- Marks, H. & Printy, S. (2003); Principal Leadership and School Performance: An Integration of Transformational and Instructional Leadership; Educational Administration Quarterly; 39 (3).
- National Multiple School Study using Hierarchial Lineal Modeling to examine principal collaboration around instructional matters to enhance the quality of teaching and student performance.
- Shared leadership and transformational leadership when coexists in an integrated form of leadership has a substantial influence on the quality of its pedagogy and school performance.

Key Indicators

- Continuously monitoring, evaluating, and adjusting performance
- Supports Teacher Development through Coaching, Feedback, Professional Learning Structures
- Continuously monitoring performance data for school improvement

- Blasé, J. & Blasé, J. (2000); Effective instructional leadership: Teachers Perspectives on How Principals Promote Teaching and Learning Schools; Corwin Press.
- Teachers' perspectives on principals' everyday instructional leadership characteristics and the impacts of these characteristics on teachers. Described characteristics of principals that enhance classroom instruction. Surveyed 800 teachers.
- The data reveals two themes and 11 strategies of effective instructional leadership; talking with teachers to promote reflection and promoting professional growth.

Key Indicators

- Continuously monitoring, evaluating, and adjusting performance
- Supports Teacher Development through Coaching, Feedback, Professional Learning Structures
- Continuously monitoring performance data for school improvement

- Crum, K. & Sherman, W. (2008); Facilitating High Achievement: High School Principals' Reflections on Their Successful Leadership Practices. Journal of Educational Administration; 46 (5)
- Inductive explorative study to provide insight into how successful high school principals facilitate high levels of student achievement.
- Principals foster an environment focused on developing personnel and facilitating leadership, responsible delegation and empowering the team, recognizing ultimate accountability, communicating and rapport, facilitating instruction, and managing change.

Key Indicators

- Continuously monitoring, evaluating, and adjusting performance
- Supports Teacher Development through Coaching, Feedback, Professional Learning Structures
- Continuously monitoring performance data for school improvement

- Zepeda, S. (2004). Leadership to Build Learning Communities;
 The Educational Forum. 68 (2).
- Case study on principal who used instructional supervision as a means of developing a learning community for adults.
- Principal leadership paradigms that lead to inquiry, generative problem solving, dialogue, and reflection.

Key Indicators

- Continuously monitoring, evaluating, and adjusting performance
- Supports Teacher Development through Coaching, Feedback, Professional Learning Structures
- Continuously monitoring performance data for school improvement

- Knapp, M., Swinnerton, J.
 Copland, M., & Monpas Huber, J.
 (2006); Data-Informed Leadership
 in Education. Center for the Study
 of Teaching and Policy, Seattle,
 WA.
- Meta-synthesis of empirical descriptive studies on leadership for learning and the use of data.
- Details how educational leaders access data, the meaning they assign to it, and how they use data to improve teaching and learning.

Standard 3: Creating and Sustaining Productive Relationships

Key Indicators

- Creates a welcoming, respectful, and caring environment.
- Provides opportunities for extended, productive discourse
- Enables collaboration between administrators and teachers
- Communicates and partners with teachers and parents

- Tschannen-Moran, M. (2009);
 Fostering Teacher Professionalism
 in Schools; Educational
 Administration Quarterly; 45(2).
- Professional orientation of principals and impact on teacher professionalism; Survey on School Climate with 80 middle schools
- Teacher professionalism is related to professional orientation of school leader and to faculty trust.

Standard 3: Creating and Sustaining Productive Relationships

Key Indicators

- Creates a welcoming, respectful, and caring environment.
- Provides opportunities for extended, productive discourse
- Enables collaboration between administrators and teachers
- Communicates and partners with teachers and parents

- Brown, K. & Wynn, S. (2009);
 Finding, Supporting, and Keeping: The Role of the Principal in Teacher Retention Issues; Leadership and
 Policy in Schools; 8(1).
- Empirical Inquiry of teacher retention issues and leadership styles of principals.
- Principals take proactive approach in supporting new teachers and have a commitment to professional growth and development for themselves retain teachers at a higher rate.

Key Indicators

- Design and develop structures and processes
- Implements systems and processes to align curriculum, instruction, and assessment
- Allocates resources effectively, including organizing time, to support learning goals.

- Boscardin, M. (2005); The Administrative Role in Transforming Secondary Schools to Support Inclusive Evidence-Based Practices. American Secondary Education. 33 (3).
- Case study of two ways in which administrators facilitate the development, adoptions, use, and evaluation of evidence-based educational interventions within secondary schools.
- Principals have a critical role to play in support of the use of a problem-solving model for educational intervention.

Key Indicators

- Design and develop structures and processes
- Implements systems and processes to align curriculum, instruction, and assessment
- Allocates resources effectively, including organizing time, to support learning goals.

- Tucker, M. & Codding, J. (Eds.)
 (2003). The Principal Challenge:
 Leading and Managing Schools in an
 Era of Accountability; Jossey-Bass.
- Research of two years of study on effective school leadership supported by Carnegie Corp, Broad Foundation, and New Schools Venture Fund.
- Presents an overview of what it takes for a principal to be a strategic thinker where principals can use the best current thinking on knowledge management and professional development and a conception of the principal as school designer with an emphasis on the use of data to drive planning.

Key Indicators

- Design and develop structures and processes
- Implements systems and processes to align curriculum, instruction, and assessment
- Allocates resources effectively, including organizing time, to support learning goals.

- Schlechty, P. (2005). Creating Great Schools: Six Critical Systems at the Heart of Educational Innovation. Jossey-Bass.
- Reports on the effective actions of educational leaders to sustain continuous innovation and improvement.
- Outlines six critical systems that support school improvement: recruitment and induction, knowledge transmission, power and authority, evaluation, direction, and boundaries.

Key Indicators

- Design and develop structures and processes
- Implements systems and processes to align curriculum, instruction, and assessment
- Allocates resources effectively, including organizing time, to support learning goals.

- Marks, H. & Nance, J. (2007); Contexts of Accountability Under Systemic Reform: Implications for Principal Influences on Instruction and Supervision; Educational Administration Quarterly 43(1).
- Researchers using data from the 1999-2000 Schools and Staffing Survey investigate how accountability contexts affect the ability of principals to influence instructional and supervisory decisions in their schools.
- Principals' influence in both the supervisory and instructional domains is strongly related to that of teachers' active participation in decision making, suggesting the benefits of mutuality in school settings.