## ATTACHMENT 2 -- NEVADA FFY 2008 -- PART B INDICATOR 15 WORKSHEET

In completing the worksheet, the number recorded in column (b) cannot exceed the number recorded in column (a). If the number in column (b) exceeds column (a) the column (b) cell will turn red.

This worksheet calculates the percent of noncompliance corrected within one year of identification. The self-calculating cells are highlighted in gray. Be careful not to enter data into these cells because the calculations will not work properly.

Indicator/Indicator Clusters	General Supervision System Components	Issued Findings in FFY 2007 (7/1/07 to 6/30/08)	(a) # of Findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 (7/1/07 to 6/30/08)	(b) # of Findings of noncompliance from (a) for which correction was verified no later than one year from identification
<ol> <li>Percent of youth with IEPs graduating from high school with a regular diploma.</li> <li>Percent of youth with IEPs dropping out of high school.</li> </ol>	Monitoring Activities: Self- Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit, On-Site Visits, or Other	4	25	25
14. Percent of youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and who have	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings	1	1	1
Participation and performance of children with disabilities on statewide assessments.	Monitoring Activities: Self- Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit, On-Site Visits, or Other	4	29	29
Percent of preschool children with IEPs who demonstrated improved outcomes.	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings	1	3	3
4A. Percent of districts identified as having a significant discrepancy in the rates of suspensions and expulsions of children with disabilities for greater than 10 days in a school year.	Monitoring Activities: Self- Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit,	0	0	0
	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings	0	0	0
<ul> <li>5. Percent of children with IEPs aged 6 through 21 -educational placements.</li> <li>6. Percent of preschool children aged 3 through 5 – early childhood placement.</li> </ul>	Monitoring Activities: Self- Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit, On-Site Visits, or Other	4	18	18
	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings	0	0	0
8. Percent of parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with	Monitoring Activities: Self- Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit, On-Site Visits, or Other	2	8	8
disabilities.	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings	0	0	0

Indicator/Indicator Clusters	General Supervision System Components	# of LEAs Issued Findings in FFY 2007 (7/1/07 to 6/30/08)	(a) # of Findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 (7/1/07 to 6/30/08)	(b) # of Findings of noncompliance from (a) for which correction was verified no later than one year from identification
<ol> <li>Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education that is the result of inappropriate identification.</li> </ol>	Monitoring Activities: Self- Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit, On-Site Visits, or Other	4	25	25
10. Percent of districts with disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that is the result of inappropriate identification.	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings	1	1	1
	Monitoring Activities: Self- Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit, On-Site Visits, or Other	1	1	1
	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings	0	0	0
12. Percent of children referred by Part C prior to age 3, who are found eligible for Part B, and who have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays.	Monitoring Activities: Self- Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit, On-Site Visits, or Other	3	3	3
	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings	0	0	0
13. Percent of youth aged 16 and above with IEP that includes coordinated, measurable, annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable student to meet the post-secondary goals.	Monitoring Activities: Self- Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit, On-Site Visits, or Other	3	6	6
	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings	0	0	0

Indicator/Indicator Clusters	General Supervision System Components	# of LEAs Issued Findings in FFY 2007 (7/1/07 to 6/30/08)	(a) # of Findings of noncompliance identified in FFY 2007 (7/1/07 to 6/30/08)	(b) # of Findings of noncompliance from (a) for which correction was verified no later than one year from identification
Other areas of noncompliance:	Monitoring Activities: Self Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit, On-Site Visits, or Other			
	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings			
Other areas of noncompliance:	Monitoring Activities: Self Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit, On-Site Visits, or Other			
	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings			
Other areas of noncompliance:  Sum the numbers down Column a and C	Monitoring Activities: Self-Assessment/ Local APR, Data Review, Desk Audit, On-Site Visits, or Other			
	Dispute Resolution: Complaints, Hearings		120	120
Percent of noncompliance corrected wit (column (b) sum divided by column (a) s		ion =	(b) / (a) X 100 =	100.00%

Note: To add more rows for Other areas of noncompliance, highlight row 32, right click and choose Insert.

Repeat - there are now two new rows. Highlight rows 26 and 27. Copy these rows.

Highlight rows 28 and 29. Paste. Following these steps will allow the calculation to work correctly.