

## SPCSA-Sponsored Charter Schools

## **67 Charter School Campuses**

- 55 in Clark County
- 6 in Washoe County
- 3 statewide virtual schools
- 1 in Churchill County
- 1 in Elko County
- 1 in White Pine County

### **Varying Contexts**

Schools have various

- Instructional Models
- Calendars/Schedules
- Student Enrollment
- Start dates
- Facilities



## Ongoing Communications with Schools

- Weekly update emails to schools (currently 2x per week)
- Weekly (initially bi-weekly) all school conference calls
  - Share information and update
  - Field questions
- Weekly 1:1 contact with schools via SPCSA COVID-19 Liaisons
  - Discuss school-specific updates
  - Answer school-specific questions
  - Understand challenges



# Charter School Reopening Plans

- Developed by charter schools with input from parents, families, school staff, and community members and include plans for
  - Distance Education
  - A hybrid learning model to accommodate social distancing requirements
- Approved by Charter School Governing Boards
- Reviewed by SPCSA staff for compliance with directives and guidance
- Submitted to NDE

## SPCSA In-Person Learning Guidance

Mitigation Level (Established by Governor's Emergency Directive 030 and <u>Road to Recovery: Moving to</u> <u>a New Normal</u> )	SPCSA COVID-19 In-Person Learning Guidance (Established by SPCSA Board on Friday, August 14, 2020)
Baseline Level	A charter school sponsored by the SPCSA may, but are not required to, operate under an in-person or hybrid model in accordance with Emergency Directives 022 and 028.
Mitigation Level 1	Except with written approval of an exemption from the Executive Director, all charter schools sponsored by the SPCSA <i>shall</i> operate under a <b>distance education model</b> , with the option to provide in-person instruction at a very limited scale (less than 25% of the school's enrollment) for students most significantly impacted by the loss of in-person instruction and in accordance with Section 8 of Emergency Directive 028.  In accordance with Section 8 of Emergency Directive 028, schools may consider the following when identifying students for in-person instruction:
Mitigation Level 2	<ul> <li>Evaluating students' Individualized Education Programs or 504 Plans to determine whether the provision of services requires in-person instruction or interaction;</li> <li>Prioritizing in-person instruction for students based on English Learner status;</li> <li>Determining that certain grade levels or courses are better suited to inperson instruction or distance education;</li> <li>Considering documented student and family medical circumstances.</li> </ul>

#### In addition,

- The Executive Director *must*, open a fast response process to consider appeals by schools for exemptions and *may* approve and enact individual plans informed by consultation with schools.
- The Executive Director *may*, in unique circumstances require additional restrictions for specific schools and/or counties based on public health data and/or non-compliance with prevailing Emergency Directives.



# Addressing Devices and Connectivity

- By the end of the 2019-20 school year, 98% of students were learning online
- Summer survey data showed, out of ~50,000 students,
  - 1,700 students without reliable internet
  - 7,500 students without devices (includes students that would be sharing devices)
  - Significant progress already made
- Connectivity
  - Deploying 1,000 Hot Spots
  - Working on subsidizing Cox Connect 2 Compete access for low-income students
  - Ongoing school-based efforts
- Devices
  - Numerous purchases over the summer
  - Limited number of schools seeing back orders or delays
  - Continuing to trouble-shoot specific issues, particularly back-ordered devices



# Additional Supports

- National School Lunch Program
- Wrap-around supports



## Highlights

- Relatively strong attendance in spring (above 96% through May 15)
- Small-scale pilots of hybrid learning over summer
- Coordination with Health Districts/Departments
- Support and Training

