

# **At-Risk Students: A conversation around defining options**

**Presentation to the Nevada State Board of Education**

October 8, 2020

# Agenda

- ✓ Commission on School Funding Update
- ✓ Review definitions of at-risk previously considered by the Nevada Commission on School Funding
- ✓ Further explore the Infinite Campus module
- ✓ Nevada Department of Education Recommendations

# “At-Risk” in Nevada Revised Statutes

- **NRS 387.1211**

- “**At-risk pupil**” means a pupil who is eligible for free or reduced-price lunches pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1751 et seq., or an alternative measure prescribed by the State Board.
- This definition becomes effective July 1, 2021.

# Free and Reduced-Price Lunch:

## Strengths

- Consistent with the definition used by a majority of states that provide additional at-risk funding providing an opportunity for Nevada to compare itself to those states.
- Definition implemented by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) to identify Economically Disadvantaged students and programmatic reporting on FRL students is already required under ESSA.
- Is currently the poverty measure for district allocations of Title I funds.
- Past data is available making it easier to study the effects of the funding.

## Weaknesses

- Increases both the false positive and false negative identification of students that are at-risk, leaving less dollars for students that are at-risk.
- Does not consider any academic factors.
- Issues with tracking pupils without violating confidentiality.
- Increased identification problem for Schools that qualify for the Healthy, Hunger-Free Kids Act (HHFKA), a federally-funded nutrition program that passed in 2010. Included in the Act is the Community Eligibility Provision (CEP), which states that every student in a school is eligible to receive free meals if social services or the school districts have identified 40 percent or more of the students are eligible through direct certification.

# Opportunity Gap Methodology

- Select an opportunity gap, identify the source of the gap, and use evidenced-based strategies to lessen or remove the gap.

– Examples:

**Gap:** *Proficiency in math drops significantly between grades 4 and 5*

**Evidence-Based Strategy:** *Increase community engagement and parental involvement.*

- Re-evaluate each biennium.

# Opportunity Gap

## Strengths

- Identify an issue affecting education and solve or reduce its impact.
- Potential for wide impact on issues affecting many students.
- Issues can be identified and selected each biennium.

## Weaknesses

- Selected issue could have little historical data making the tracking of outcomes more difficult.
- Could add additional and potentially burdensome reporting requirements each biennium.
- Selected issue could re-occur if funding pulled for a newly selected issue.
- Issue could be difficult to align with per pupil funding plan.
- No other state has implemented an issue approach.
- Issue could result in reduced flexibility of use of funds at school level.

# Alternative at-risk factors

- In the bottom quartile as measured by the statewide summative assessment.
- In Foster Care
- Family is living below the poverty line
- Repeated a grade

# Alternative Factors

## Strengths

- Includes educational and economic factors in determining at-risk.
- Transparent: Easy to explain and understand.
- Removes confidentiality concerns.

## Weaknesses

- Potential for significant changes in qualifying enrollment.
- Significantly reduces FY20 projected at-risk enrollment, increasing the chance for a false negative.
- While a few states include educational factors in identifying at-risk, the use of economic and educational factors would be unique to Nevada and lose comparability with other states

# Infinite Campus-Machine Learning

- Goal is to identify students at-risk of not graduating with their cohorts.
- Machine learning algorithm used to identify and track student performance and the factors that increase risk to those students.
- Daily input factors involving:
  - Academic
  - Attendance
  - Behavior
  - Home and enrollment stability
  - Situational

# Infinite Campus

## Strengths

- Provides real time data synchronization.
  - Daily inputs and outputs
- Does not add reporting requirements.
- Increased accuracy: Reduces false positive and false negative identifications.
- Easy and timely to implement
- Provides tools for identifying students facing situations increasing their risk and tailoring support services to those identified.

## Weaknesses

- Methodology lacks in transparency:
  - Difficult to explain
- Difficult to verify methodology

# Recommendation

- Define “at-risk” as an increased probability of a student not persisting to graduation with their cohort.
- Expand definition to include, “based on attendance, behavior, academic, stability and GRAD scores.”