Open Educational Resources (OER) Collaborative

December 18, 2014
OER Collaborative

11 States have expressed interest in being part of this Collaborative.

Each State is being asked to assign a “point person”. Nevada will be co-lead by Cindy Sharp and Dave Brancamp and supported by Tracy Gruber.

All materials will be aligned to the Nevada Academic Content Standards in ELA and Mathematics.
OER Review Process

1. Proposal Review: Proposals from vendors will be reviewed.
2. Prototype Review: Prototypes from vendors will be reviewed.
3. Development Review: Development material will be reviewed and vetted.
Proposal Review:
February 23rd – March 6th 2015

The Department will invite 6-10 people to participate in the Proposal Review. The Collaborative expects that there will be 4 – 5 proposals per content category:

1. ELA: K-2, 3-5, 6-8, and 9-12
2. Mathematics: K-5, 6-8, 9-12 (integrated and traditional)

Each proposal will take approximately 2-3 hours to review and one state score will be submitted. The state team will have two weeks to review the proposals.
Prototype Review: Mid to late June 2015

Vendors will be asked to send a “prototype” of instructional materials for review:

a. The collaborative will support 8 cross-state review teams.

b. Nevada will be asked to recommend candidates for these teams.

c. The teams will be composed of 3 members from each state.

d. There will be 2-3 on-line trainings for the reviewers.
Prototype Review Continued

e. There will be a 2.5 day in-person training (Date and location TBA).

f. Participants must be familiar with Achieve’s EQuIP Rubric, and Student Achievement Partners’ Instructional Materials Evaluation Tool (IMET).

g. Based on this review a vendor/or vendors will be selected to create future instructional materials.
Development Review

Reviewer Criteria:

a. The Department will send an invitation to participate as a reviewer. Prospective reviewers will need to apply for selection.

b. Reviewers must make a 12 month commitment.

c. Selected reviewers will receive a stipend of $1,000.00 for the year, which will be paid based upon reviews completed.
Development Review Continued

d. Reviews will be completed on-line, with intermittent webinars for training purposes.

e. Reviewers will be expected to complete 8 units and 1 full year curriculum per content area.

f. Reviewers will have 2 weeks to complete the review.

g. Expected time commitment: 2-3 hours per unit.

h. A subset of reviewers will be asked to ensure the vertical articulation of the materials.
State Expectations

State leads will be checking in with reviewers through each of the three reviews.

Nevada will post material to the Department’s website (and link to districts and RPDP’s) for use by all Nevada teachers.

All OER activities and communications will occur through web-based formats.
Next Steps

The Department will:

1. Request names for each review process.
2. Potentially convene committees through each review process.
3. Institute a process for tracking each review process.
4. Update review progress, via web-based formats, with stakeholders.
Questions and Comments
Contacts

• Cindy Sharp
csharp@doe.nv.gov

• Dave Brancamp
dbrancamp@doe.nv.gov

• Tracy Gruber
tgruber@doe.nv.gov